



**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**CFD SIMULATIONS OF ENHANCEMENT OF JET MIXING BY  
LATERAL SYNTHETIC JET PAIR**

**Hong Mun Hoh**

**Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering**

**2020**

**CFD SIMULATIONS OF ENHANCEMENT OF JET MIXING BY LATERAL  
SYNTHETIC JET PAIR**

**HONG MUN HOH**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science  
in Mechanical Engineering**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2020**

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis entitled “CFD Simulations of Enhancement of Jet Mixing by Lateral Synthetic Jet Pair” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : Hong Mun Hoh

Date : .....

## **APPROVAL**

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering.

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : Dr. Cheng See Yuan

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

To my beloved mother and father.

## ABSTRACT

The use of synthetic jet in enhancing mixing ensures the success of many applications, including biomedical devices and chemical processing. Previous studies have found that modifications of the orifice edges and orifice width can alter the jet flow characteristics particularly in regard to flow mixing behaviours. It is expected that a change in these parameters could improve the mixing effectiveness of jet mixing technique. Therefore, the main goal of this research was to investigate the mixing characteristics of synthetic jet under the influence of various orifice edge configurations at different orifice width. First, a CFD model was developed for the evaluation of synthetic-jet-enhanced mixing performance in a mixing channel. The numerical modelling utilized a viscous laminar model to simulate the unsteady incompressible flow 3D model under a net flow Reynolds number of 83. Validation and verifications were conducted to examine the quality of the results. The mixing mechanisms and influence of three different orifice edges configurations (sharp, rounded, and chamfered) at different orifice widths (1.6, 2.4 and 4 mm) on the mixing degree between two fluid streams were then identified and discussed. The findings indicated that there is an optimal ratio of orifice width to the width of the mixing channel ( $d/h = 0.3$ ), which will give the best mixing degree with a value of 0.6584 for a given width of the mixing channel with sharp-edged orifice. The findings also revealed that the rounded orifice showed the best mixing degree with a value of 0.6201 at lower  $d/h$  whereas the sharp-edged orifice showed the best mixing degree with a value of 0.6584 at higher  $d/h$ . This research work will serve as a guideline for selecting a suitable orifice width and orifice edge configuration to enhance the mixing performance of a synthetic-jet-assisted fluid mixer.

## ABSTRAK

*Penggunaan jet sintetik dalam meningkatkan tahap prestasi pencampuran telah memainkan peranan yang penting untuk menjamin penggunaannya dalam banyak aplikasi, termasuk bidang biomedikal dan kajian kimia. Kajian terdahulu telah menunjukkan bahawa pengubahsuaian tepian dan kelebaran saluran keluar orifis dapat mengubah ciri-ciri aliran jet yang dapat menjejaskan tahap prestasi pencampuran. Perubahan dalam parameter ini dijangka dapat meningkatkan tahap prestasi pencampuran teknik tersebut. Oleh sebab itu, matlamat utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk menyiasat kesan pengubahsuaian tepian dan kelebaran saluran keluar orifis atas ciri-ciri aliran jet dalam prestasi pencampuran. Untuk mencapai matlamat ini, satu model CFD telah dihasilkan untuk menilai prestasi pencampuran dalam alat pencampur yang menyatah. Pemodelan berangka ini menggunakan model lamina untuk menyelesaikan perhitungan model 3D ini dengan nilai nombor Reynolds, 83. Pengesahan telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji kualiti hasil kajian. Mekanisme pencampuran dan kesan pengubahsuaian tepian saluran keluar orifis yang berbeza, iaitu tajam, bundar, dan pemotongan secara serong pada lebar orifis yang berbeza (1.6, 2.4 dan 4 mm) atas tahap prestasi pencampuran antara dua jenis aliran bendalir telah dikenalpasti dan dibincangkan. Hasil kajian ini telah menunjukkan bahawa terdapat nisbah optimum kelebaran orifis pada kelebaran saluran pencampuran ( $d/h = 0.3$ ), yang akan memberikan tahap prestasi pencampuran yang terbaik dengan nilai 0.6584 bagi kes yang mempunyai kelebaran saluran pencampuran yang malar dengan tepian saluran keluar orifis yang tajam. Penemuan ini juga mengindikasikan bahawa tepian saluran keluar orifis bundar menunjukkan nilai pencampuran yang terbaik, 0.6201 pada nilai nisbah  $d/h$  yang rendah manakala tepian saluran keluar orifis tajam menunjukkan nilai pencampuran yang terbaik, 0.6584 pada nilai nisbah  $d/h$  yang tinggi. Kajian penyelidikan ini akan menjadi garis panduan untuk memilih kelebaran dan tepian saluran keluar orifis yang sesuai supaya dapat meningkatkan prestasi pencampuran dalam peranti pencampuran bendalir dengan jet sintetik.*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere acknowledgement to my supervisor Dr. Cheng See Yuan from the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for his essential supervision, support and encouragement towards the completion of this thesis. Besides, I would like to acknowledge Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) and Ministry of Higher Education for funding through Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) that supported my study.

I would also like to express my greatest gratitude to Dr. Nazri Bin Md Daud from Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, co-supervisor of this project for his advice and suggestions. Special thanks to UTeM short term grant funding for the financial support throughout this project.

Particularly, I would also like to express my deepest gratitude to all the lecturers and staffs of Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) who in charged the Master Research Project for providing us assistance and helpful information throughout this project.

Special thanks to all my peers, my mother, beloved father and siblings for their moral support in completing this degree. Lastly, thank you to everyone who had been to the crucial parts of realization of this project.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxiii
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xxvi
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	4
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Scope	5
1.5 Importance of study	6
1.6 Research strategy	6
1.7 Outline of thesis	7
 <b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Passive and active mixing techniques	8
2.1.1 Passive micromixer	8
2.1.1.1 Obstacle based micromixers (2D)	9
2.1.1.2 Unbalanced collision based micromixers (2D)	10
2.1.1.3 Spiral based micromixers (2D)	10
2.1.1.4 Convergence-divergence based micromixer (2D)	11
2.1.1.5 Lamination based micromixers (3D)	12
2.1.1.6 Chamber based micromixers (3D)	13
2.1.1.7 Spiral based micromixers (3D)	14
2.1.1.8 Overbridge based micromixers (3D)	15
2.1.2 Active micromixers	16
2.1.2.1 Pressure field driven micromixers	16
2.1.2.2 Electrical field driven micromixers	18
2.1.2.3 Sound field driven micromixers	19
2.1.2.4 Magnetic field driven micromixers	20
2.1.2.5 Thermal field driven micromixer	22
2.2 Mixing by synthetic jets	23
2.3 Effects of orifice edges on the performance by synthetic jet	29
2.4 Summary	37
 <b>3. METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>38</b>
3.1 Laminar flow model	38

3.2	Dimensionless parameters	39
3.3	Geometry model	44
3.4	Boundary conditions	48
3.5	Physical properties	48
3.6	Meshing model	49
3.7	Governing equations	53
3.8	FLUENT set up	55
3.9	Solution algorithm	56
3.10	Discretization schemes	57
3.11	Dynamic mesh	57
3.12	Post processing	58
3.13	Hardware and software	59
3.14	Verification studies	59
3.14.1	Grid independence study	59
3.14.2	Time-step independence study	64
3.15	Validation of computational results	
<b>4.</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>76</b>
4.1	Formation of vortex pair from lateral synthetic jet actuator pair	76
4.2	Influence of orifice widths, $d$	78
4.2.1	Jet exit velocity	79
4.2.2	Mixing degree between two fluids	80
4.2.3	Mixing mechanisms that cause the discrepancies between the mixing degree obtained from different orifice widths	82
4.3	Influence of orifice edges at different orifice widths, $d$	86
4.3.1	Orifice width, $d = 1.6 \text{ mm}$	86
4.3.1.1	Jet exit velocity	86
4.3.1.2	Comparison of the mixing effect between sharp and rounded orifice edges	88
4.3.1.3	Comparison of the mixing effect between rounded and chamfered orifice edges	93
4.3.1.4	Comparison of the mixing effect between sharp and chamfered orifice edges	99
4.3.1.5	Mixing degree between two fluids under the influence of different orifice edges	104
4.3.2	Orifice width, $d = 2.4 \text{ mm}$	105
4.3.2.1	Jet exit velocity	105
4.3.2.2	Comparison of the mixing effect between sharp and rounded orifice edges	107
4.3.2.3	Comparison of the mixing effect between rounded and chamfered orifice edges	109
4.3.2.4	Mixing degree between two fluids under the influence of different orifice edges	115
4.3.3	Orifice width, $d = 4 \text{ mm}$	116
4.3.3.1	Jet exit velocity	118
4.3.3.2	Comparison of the mixing effect between sharp and rounded orifice edges	119
4.3.3.3	Comparison of the mixing effect between rounded and chamfered orifice edges	121

4.3.3.4	Mixing degree between two fluids under the influence of different orifice edges	125
<b>5.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>128</b>
5.1	Summary of key findings	128
5.2	Recommendation for future work	131
5.2.1	Mixing by multiple pair of SJA with different orifice edges configurations	131
5.2.2	Mixing by a pair of lateral SJA under the influence of different orifice edges configurations at different flow regimes	131
5.2.3	Mixing by a pair of lateral SJA at $d/h < 0.2$	
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>133</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>149</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Comparison of jet formation criterion obtained from 2D and 3D model	46
3.2	All the cases with different orifice configurations	48
3.3	Physical properties of water and dye used in the present study	49
3.4	Number of mesh cells of different region	50
3.5	Characteristics of the laboratory computer used in the present study	59
3.6	Mesh refinement cases	60
3.7	Computational time and file size for three different meshes	60
3.8	Time-averaged mixing degree obtained from cases with three different meshes	61
3.9	Time-averaged mixing degree obtained from cases with time-step sizes of 0.0025, 0.005 and 0.01s	64
4.1	Time-averaged mixing degree obtained from cases with orifice widths of 1.6, 2.4 and 4 <i>mm</i>	82
4.2	Time-averaged mixing degree obtained from cases with different orifice edges at orifice width size, $d = 1.6 \text{ mm}$	105
4.3	Time-averaged mixing degree obtained from cases with different orifice edges at orifice width size, $d = 2.4 \text{ mm}$	116

4.4	Time-averaged mixing degree obtained from cases with different orifice edges at orifice width size, $d = 4 \text{ mm}$	127
-----	--	-----

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Governing parameters of synthetic jets	2
1.2	Schematic of a circular synthetic jet actuator	3
2.1	Schematic diagram of the unbalanced collision based micromixer (Ansari et al., 2010)	10
2.2	Spiral based micromixer with a meandering channel (Schonfeld and Hardt, 2004)	11
2.3	Convergence-divergence based micromixer with sinusoidal walls (Afzal and Kim, 2015)	12
2.4	(a) lamination based micromixer with crossing manifold channel and (b) orientation of crossing manifold in horizontal (H-CMM) and vertical (V-CMM) (Lim et al., 2011)	13
2.5	Geometric structure of chamber-based micromixer with trapezoidal blades (The et al., 2014)	14
2.6	Schematic of 3D threaded lemniscate-shaped micromixer (Rafeie et al., 2017)	15
2.7	(a) schematic of overbridge-shaped micromixer and (b) flow patterns of fluid 1 and 2 at the first unit (Li et al., 2015)	16

2.8	Pulsatile pressure driven micromixer with a diverging T-type microchannel (The graph of pulsatile pressure against time showed a phase difference, $\phi$ between inlets of fluid A and fluid B) (Sun and Sie, 2010)	17
2.9	Schematic diagram of the Galinstan cap positioned on the circular copper substrate seat with NaOH solution droplet (left), and deformation of the Galinstan cap when the electric field was applied across the electrode (right) (Tang et al., 2014)	19
2.10	(a) schematic diagram of the bubble based acoustic micromixer, where both reagents and nitrogen bubbles are injected into the microchannel and (b) working mechanism of the bubble based acoustic micromixer (Orbay et al., 2017)	20
2.11	(a) an external magnetic field is generated across the micromixer and (b) magnetic field based micromixer with two embedded micro magnets in the microchannel (Cao et al., 2015)	22
2.12	Synthetic jets oriented in normal forcing direction (left) and axial forcing direction (right) to the primary jets (Wang and Menon, 2001)	25
2.13	Concentration contours and velocity vectors of synthetic jets in the biosensor with single jet actuator (left) and dual jet actuators (right) at $Re = 10$ (Mautner, 2004)	25
2.14	Diagram of types of orifice edges used by Lee and Goldstein (2002) (a) flat, (b) round and (c) cusp shapes	30
2.15	Orifice plates used by Cater and Soria (2002) (a) blunt-edged and (b) bevel-edged with $45^\circ$ bevel angle on both sides	31

2.16	Diagram of types of orifice edges used by Shuster and Smith (2004) (a) straight, (b) bevelled and (c) rounded	32
2.17	Bevel-shaped orifice used by Jain et al. (2011)	34
3.1	2D schematic diagram of geometric model. The evaluation plane is indicated by (a) and the orifice exit plane is indicated by (b)	40
3.2	Velocity profiles across the mixing channel at distance of 104 <i>mm</i> (in positive y-direction) from the origin of the model. Note that the velocity profiles is obtained without the actuation of the SJAs	42
3.3	2D Schematic diagram of the geometric model and all the dimensions are in <i>mm</i>	45
3.4	(a) 3D schematic diagram of the geometric model and (b) view of geometry model from xy-plane. Notice that the centre of the orifice is indicated by the black-dotted line, which is also the origin of coordinated system of the model	45
3.5	2D schematic diagram of the geometric model with different types of orifice edges: (a) sharp, (b) rounded and (c) chamfered. Notice that similar orifice configurations will be applied to the orifice of left SJA and the dimensions are in <i>mm</i>	47
3.6	Baseline mesh model used in the present study	49
3.7	Mesh model with rounded orifice edges used in the present study	51
3.8	Mesh model with chamfered orifice edges used in the present study	52
3.9	Comparison of time-averaged mixing degree obtained from different mesh refinement cases and experiment at stroke ratio, $L = 4$ and velocity ratio, $R_v = 1.6$	60



3.10	Comparison of instantaneous concentration contours (upper), and phase-averaged velocity fields and vorticity contours (bottom) among experiment and three difference meshes at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA. The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	61
3.11	Comparison of instantaneous concentration contours around the region of evaluation plane (indicated by red-dotted line at location $Y/h = 12.5$ ) among experiment and three different meshes	62
3.12	Velocity profiles for different meshes across the evaluation plane. Note that the velocity profiles are obtained without the actuation of the SJA	63
3.13	Comparison of time-averaged mixing degree obtained from different time-step sizes ( $t = 0.0025, 0.005, 0.01 \text{ s}$ ) and experiment	65
3.14	A comparison of instantaneous concentration contours (upper), and phase-averaged velocity fields and vorticity contours (bottom) among experiment and different time-step sizes at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA. The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	66
3.15	A comparison of instantaneous concentration contours around the region of evaluation plane (indicated by red-dotted line at location $Y/h = 12.5$ ) among experiment and different time-step sizes	67
3.16	Velocity profiles for different time-step sizes across the evaluation plane. Note that the velocity profiles are obtained without the actuation of the SJA	68

3.17	Comparison of time-averaged mixing degree obtained from experiment and present study at different Stroke ratio, $L$	69
3.18	Instantaneous concentration contours (left), and phase-averaged velocity vector and vorticity contours (right) obtained from experiment and present study at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA under $L = 2$ . The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	70
3.19	Instantaneous concentration contours (left), and phase-averaged velocity vector and vorticity contours (right) obtained from experiment and present study at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA under $L = 3$ . The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	71
3.20	Instantaneous concentration contours (left), and phase-averaged velocity vector and vorticity contours (right) obtained from experiment and present study at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA under $L = 6$ . The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	72
3.21	Instantaneous concentration contours (left), and phase-averaged velocity vector and vorticity contours (right) obtained from experiment and present study at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA under $L = 8$ . The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	73

3.22	Instantaneous concentration contours around the evaluation plane region (indicated by red-dotted line) shown by Xia and Zhong (2012) (upper), and present study (bottom) at different stroke ratios, $L = 2, 3, 4, 6$ and $8$	74
4.1	Instantaneous z-vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with sharp orifice edges with orifice width, $d = 4 \text{ mm}$ at eight phases (from $t = 0/8 T$ to $7/8 T$ ) during an actuation cycle. The centre of the orifice is indicated by the white-dotted line	77
4.2	Temporal variations of jet exit velocity from (a) left SJA and (b) right SJA	77
4.3	A comparison of instantaneous concentration contours (a)-(c) and phase-averaged velocity vector fields and vorticity contours (d)-(e) among different orifice widths at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA. The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	79
4.4	Jet exit x-velocity versus time curve for different orifice widths	80
4.5	Instantaneous concentration contours around the evaluation plane region (indicated by red dot line at location $Y/h = 12.5$ ) shown by the present study at different orifice widths, $d = 1.6, 2.4, 4 \text{ mm}$ at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA	81
4.6	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours around the orifice exit region shown by the present study at $d = 2.4 \text{ mm}$ . The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	82

4.7	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours (a)-(d) and phase-averaged velocity vector fields with vorticity contours (e)-(h) around the orifice exit region shown by the present study at $d = 4 \text{ mm}$ . The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	84
4.8	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours around the orifice exit region shown by the present study at $d = 1.6 \text{ mm}$ (a)-(d) and $d = 2.4 \text{ mm}$ (e)-(h). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	85
4.9	Jet exit x-velocity versus time curve for different orifice edges	87
4.10	2D schematic diagram of geometric models with different types of orifice edges. The orifice exit plane is indicated by the blue-dotted line. Similar orifice edges configurations are applied to the orifice of left SJA	88
4.11	Time sequence of instantaneous z-vorticity contours for the case with sharp orifice edges (a)-(h). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	89
4.12	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours for the case with sharp orifice edges (a)-(h). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	90
4.13	Time sequence of instantaneous z-vorticity contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a)-(h). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	90

4.14	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a)-(h). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	91
4.15	Instantaneous z-vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) and (c) and sharp orifice edges (b) and (d) near the orifice exit region from the right SJA at $t = 6/8 T$ (a) and (b) and $t = 7/8 T$ (c) and (d)	92
4.16	Instantaneous z-vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) and (c) and sharp orifice edges (b) and (d) near the orifice exit region from the left SJA at $t = 2/8 T$ (a) and (b) and $t = 3/8 T$ (c) and (d)	93
4.17	Instantaneous vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with rounded orifice edges (a)-(d) and chamfered orifice edges (e)-(h) near the orifice exit region at four different phases (from $t = 1/8 T$ until $4/8 T$ ) during an actuation cycle	94
4.18	Instantaneous vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with rounded orifice edges (a)-(d) and chamfered orifice edges (e)-(h) near the orifice exit region at four different phases (from $t = 5/8 T$ until $8/8 T$ ) during an actuation cycle	95
4.19	Instantaneous vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) and chamfered orifice edges and (b) near the orifice exit region of the left SJA at $t = 0/8 T$	96

4.20	Instantaneous vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) chamfered orifice edges and (b) near the orifice exit region of the left SJA at $t = 0.02 T$	97
4.21	Instantaneous vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) chamfered orifice edges and (b) near the orifice exit region of the left SJA at $t = 0.04 T$	98
4.22	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a)-(d) and chamfered orifice edges (e)-(h) at four different phases of an actuation cycle. The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	99
4.23	Time sequence of instantaneous z-vorticity contours for the case with sharp orifice edges (a)-(h) near the orifice exit region. The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	100
4.24	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours for the case with sharp orifice edges (a)-(h) near the orifice exit region. The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	100
4.25	Time sequence of instantaneous z-vorticity contours for the case with chamfered orifice edges (a)-(h) near the orifice exit region. The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	101
4.26	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours for the case with chamfered orifice edges (a)-(h) near the orifice exit region. The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	101

4.27	Instantaneous z-vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with chamfered orifice edges (a) and (c) and sharp orifice edges (b) and (d) near the orifice exit region from the right SJA at $t = 6/8 T$ (a) and (b) and $t = 7/8 T$ (c) and (d)	102
4.28	Instantaneous z-vorticity contours with velocity vector fields for the case with chamfered orifice edges (a) and (c) and sharp orifice edges (b) and (d) near the orifice exit region from the left SJA at $t = 2/8 T$ (a) and (b) and $t = 3/8 T$ (c) and (d)	103
4.29	Instantaneous concentration contours around the evaluation plane region (indicated by red dot line at location $Y/h = 12.5$ ) at different orifice edges: (a) sharp, (b) rounded and (c) chamfered with orifice width size, $d = 1.6 \text{ mm}$ at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA	104
4.30	A comparison of instantaneous concentration contours (a)-(c) and z-vorticity contours (d)-(f) among different types of orifice edges with $d = 2.4 \text{ mm}$ at the end of ejection cycle of left SJA. The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	106
4.31	Jet exit x-velocity versus time curve for different orifice edges	107
4.32	Time sequence of z-vorticity contours for the case with sharp orifice edges (a)-(d) and rounded orifice edges (e)-(h). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	108
4.33	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours for the case with sharp orifice edges (a)-(d) and rounded orifice edges (e)-(h). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	109

4.34	Time sequence of instantaneous z-vorticity contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a)-(d) and chamfered orifice edges (e)-(h). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	110
4.35	Time sequence of instantaneous concentration contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a)-(d) and chamfered orifice edges (e)-(h). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	111
4.36	Comparison between the instantaneous z-vorticity contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) and (c) and chamfered orifice edges (b) and (d) at $t = 4/8 T$ (a) and (b) and $t = 6/8 T$ (c) and (d). The centre of the orifice exit is indicated by the black-dotted line	111
4.37	Instantaneous x-velocity contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) and chamfered orifice edges and (b) near the orifice exit region from the right SJA at $t = 6/8 T$	112
4.38	Instantaneous x-velocity contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) chamfered orifice edges and (b) near the orifice exit region from the right SJA at $t = 0/8 T$	113
4.39	Instantaneous x-velocity contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) chamfered orifice edges and (b) near the orifice exit region from the left SJA at $t = 2/8 T$	114
4.40	Instantaneous x-velocity contours for the case with rounded orifice edges (a) chamfered orifice edges and (b) near the orifice exit region from the left SJA at $t = 4/8 T$	114